

# Exhibit 55

# TRIGON.

January 5, 2000

Ms. Karen Ford Manza  
Regional Director, Managed Care  
US Oncology  
16825 Northchase Drive  
Suite 1300  
Houston, TX 77060

Dear Ms. Manza:

It was a pleasure speaking with you regarding the new Trigon Services, Inc. Agreements. I also want to thank you for forwarding your suggested changes to the agreement language. As we discussed, the Agreements have undergone significant revisions that are beneficial to your practice. However, you still have a number of concerns regarding the contract language. At this time, I would like to address your concerns in an attempt to further clarify Trigon Services, Inc.'s position on certain issues.

## Exhibit H - Bundling

Further clarification necessary.

## History Edits - Oncology

Further clarification necessary.

## Non-Covered Oncology Services

Further clarification necessary.

## Medical Necessity

As defined in the Agreements, Medical Necessity determinations are based upon the following criteria: consistent with the symptom or diagnosis and treatment of the Covered Person's condition; in keeping with standards of generally accepted medical practice; not mainly for the convenience of the patient, the patient's family, or the provider; and the most suitable supply or level of service that can be safely provided. Economic factors are not a component of Medical Necessity determinations. Determination of Medical Necessity is based upon sound clinical evidence and outcomes information. Trigon uses national medical necessity standards for these determinations.

If you disagree with a Medical Necessity determination made by Trigon and feel that it is based purely on economic factors, you should appeal the insurance claims in accordance with Virginia Code Section 32.1-137.7 *et. seq*

## Experimental/Investigational

See Medical Necessity section.

**A-VA 03010065  
Highly Confidential**

Trigon Services, Inc., a subsidiary of Trigon Healthcare, Inc.  
3800 Concorde Parkway • Suite 2000 • Chantilly, Virginia 20151 • Tel 703-227-5300 • Fax 703-227-5355

### PAR/PPO Modifiers

Further clarification necessary.

### Drug Pricing According to Exhibit H

Trigon recognizes that your acquisition cost for drugs is highly variable when expressed as a percent of the AWP. Ninety percent of AWP should provide you with substantial margins for some drugs and nearly zero margins for others. As you know, acquisition cost as a percent of AWP is much lower for the older and more established generics and multi-source brands. These relatively low-cost drugs provide substantial margins and are prominent in the established combination regimens for common malignancies. Therefore, on balance, providing drugs to Trigon insureds at ninety percent of AWP provides you with a positive margin, even when inventory costs are considered. At the time of future fee schedule updates, Trigon might introduce new or different allowances for drugs. It is possible that new allowances might not be based upon a constant percent of AWP. However, any fee schedule changes may be many months in the future.

### Medical Documentation

Further clarification necessary.

### CPT Coding and Medicare Processing Guidelines

Trigon updates its fee schedules yearly, according to Medicare's Relative Value Units, competitor payments and changes in medical technology that affect specific allowances.

### General Contractual Issues

1. Although the Fair Business Practices Act only applies to insured business, you will see some changes in processes and procedures across all lines of business as a result of the changes we are making relative to the new law. For example, we will provide voluntary pre-authorization with eligibility determinations across all lines of business, and we will provide information about policies and payments consistently across all lines of business. And we will, of course, continue to strive to pay all claims promptly and accurately. However, we have only changed the procedures for requesting additional information and tracking and paying claims for our insured lines of business, as required by the law. All Covered Services provided to fully insured and self-insured Covered Persons of Affiliates listed in Exhibit A of the Agreement will be reimbursed in accordance with the applicable Schedule of Allowances in Exhibit H. Trigon's adjudication logic is consistent with industry standards and is similar to logic applied by most payors. Often, Trigon's adjudication logic is consistent with Medicare and AMA CPT methodology, but at times Trigon's logic may conflict with these guidelines. Upon request, Trigon will address any specific questions regarding rebundling, downcoding, or reduction of claims containing certain combinations of codes.
2. While the Fair Business Practices Act requires that physicians have fifteen (15) business days from receipt of an amendment to consider and provide written objection to any contract amendment, Trigon provides twenty (20) business days from postmark date to review any amendment and notify us of any objection. Amendments will become effective ninety (90)

calendar days after the review period. Likewise, any termination that results from your failure to accept an amendment will not take place until ninety (90) calendar days after the twenty (20) business day period. This timing helps ensure continuity of care and a smooth transition for our members and your patients.

3. The new Trigon Services, Inc. agreements do not contain the "most favored nations" clause. While we remain committed to ensuring the best reimbursement available for our members, we will achieve this, as we always have, by considering Medicare's Relative Value Units, competitor payments and changes in medical technology that affect specific allowances.
4. Trigon has always reserved the right to share information with its customers, network providers, and Covered Persons. In fact, some of the language in this section is taken verbatim from the previous agreement. In an improvement from the previous agreement, Trigon has added language to this section to protect physicians. Trigon has committed to including statements that notify recipients of potential data limitation that could affect interpretation of the information and has provided the physician with the opportunity to review economic profiling information 30 days in advance of any external publication. As stated in Section III.K., you may provide comments and questions to us for our consideration by telephoning our Health Economics Unit at 1-800-447-2345.
5. Trigon's determination that certain health care services are not Medically Necessary represents a denial of payment, not a denial of treatment. Only if Trigon deems the provision of certain health care service to be not Medically Necessary and thus a non-Covered Service, the physician is responsible for making the decision to provide or not to provide treatment based upon his/her professional judgement. If based upon his/her professional judgement, the physician wishes to provide the non-Covered Service to the Covered Person, or if the Covered Person is adamant about receiving such services, the physician can bill the Covered Person directly provided that an appropriate Patient Waiver form has been completed. Trigon does not assume liability for payment of non-Covered Services on behalf of Covered Persons. This practice, along with the definition of Medical Necessity and Covered Services are supported by the Covered Person's Evidence of Coverage.
6. See number 1.
7. Further clarification necessary.
8. Under the Trigon Services, Inc. agreement, all Affiliates are obligated to pay clean claims for Covered Services in accordance with the timeframe imposed by the Fair Business Practices Act.
9. All Affiliates listed on Exhibit A of the Agreement are, per Section I.A the definition of Affiliate, party to the Agreement and are subject to the terms and conditions expressed in the Agreement. Also, the definition of Plan refers to Blue Cross Blue Shield plans participating in the BlueCard Program. Likewise, these Plans are subject to the terms and conditions expressed in the Agreement including Exhibit H. Section III.P states that the Provider will comply with the utilization review policies of such Plan in lieu of the utilization review policies in Exhibit F. A list of Plans participating in the BlueCard Program will be mailed to you upon request.
10. Further clarification necessary.
11. In this instance, please call your Provider Network Consultant and he/she will serve as the liaison to the Trigon corporate office to resolve the issue.
12. The Trigon Reference Guide will be mailed to providers in late January 2000. This Reference Guide will have updated information and include provisions from the Ethics and Fairness in Carrier Business Practices Act.
13. Trigon does not publish or disseminate distinct medical record consent forms.
14. All self-insured plans administered by Affiliates are required to comply with the obligations in Section II, Trigon Services, Inc. and Affiliate Obligations, which include Ethics and Fairness in

- Carrier Business Practices Act provisions. Self-insured plans are also subject to Section III.M and thus, in certain situations, may be liable for payment.
15. If a non-participating provider has provided services to a Covered Person, Trigon will pay the claim directly to the Covered Person. The reimbursement varies according to the benefit structure of the Covered Person.

I hope that this information addresses some of your concerns regarding contract language. If you require further explanation or wish to discuss these issues in greater depth, please notify me upon review of this letter. I am also researching your concerns that were not addressed in this letter and will notify you once completed. If you have any questions, please contact me at 703-227-5316:-

Sincerely,



Keane Chan  
Provider Network Consultant – Northern Region

cc: Sara D. Bajkowski, Network Contracting Representative, Fairfax-Prince William Hem/Onc  
Gary Miller, Director, Provider Networks – Northern Region

A-VA 03010068  
Highly Confidential

# Exhibit 56

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS  
3 CIVIL ACTION: 01-CV-12257 PBS

4 -----X  
5 IN RE: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY :  
6 AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION :

7 -----X  
8  
9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

10 Wednesday, September 22, 2004

11 10:33 a.m. - 4:43 p.m.

12  
13 Deposition of MARGARET M. JOHNSON, R.Ph.,  
14 taken by Defendants, pursuant to Subpoena, at the  
15 offices of Horizon Blue Cross Blue Shield, Three  
16 Penn Plaza - East, Newark, New Jersey, before  
17 Ellen Marie Gumpel, a Certified Shorthand  
18 Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter and  
19 Notary Public within and for the State of New  
20 York.

21

22

Page 30

1 become aware of that fact after coming to Univera  
2 or did you become aware of it previously?

3 A. That doctors in general made a  
4 margin. I know that I assumed that physicians  
5 were making a margin buying and being reimbursed  
6 for drugs, yes.

7 Q. Can you identify any particular time  
8 frame when you first would have become aware of  
9 that fact?

10 A. Probably most associated with my  
11 work in the director of pharmacy role for  
12 Blue Cross and Blue Shield where I was involved  
13 in more work related to physicians than my very  
14 specific role under the PBM.

15 Q. Forgive me for getting confused  
16 about dates, but could you remind me of when that  
17 was that you were director of pharmacy?

18 A. 1993, '94.

19 Q. Would it be fair to say that -- I'm  
20 sorry.

21 A. No, it started in '94 through '99.

22 Q. Would it be fair to say as director

Page 31

1 of pharmacy services your principal sphere of  
2 responsible pertained to pharmacies, rather than  
3 providers?

4 A. Absolutely, yes.

5 Q. Despite that, although you weren't  
6 involved with providers, you were aware of the  
7 fact that providers were making a margin?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Would it be fair to say that the  
10 existence of that margin for providers is  
11 something that is well known in the industry?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. It was certainly well known by 1993  
14 and '94, correct?

15 A. I believe it was known in '93 and  
16 '94.

17 Q. It is certainly well known today,  
18 correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. At the time that you were working  
21 for Univera, did you Univera contract with any  
22 PBMs?

Page 32

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Which PBMs did Univera contract  
3 with?

4 A. Centrus.

5 Q. Would you mind spelling that for the  
6 court reporter?

7 A. C-e-n-t-r-u-s.

8 Q. What tasks or responsibilities did  
9 Univera delegate to Centrus?

10 A. We delegated claims processing.  
11 They provided clinical support for our pharmacy  
12 and therapeutics committee. They did rebate  
13 administration for us and I think supported  
14 competitive analysis and benefit design analysis  
15 and analytics and reporting those types of  
16 things.

17 Q. In terms of rebate administration,  
18 you're referring to rebates that were paid to  
19 Centrus by drug manufacturers; is that correct?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Did Centrus pass on all, 100 percent  
22 of those rebates to Univera?

Page 33

1 A. Univera held the contracts and  
2 received the rebates sometimes directly,  
3 sometimes through Centrus and there was a  
4 percentage share of the rebates, I believe at  
5 that time was the financial arrangement between  
6 Univera.

7 Q. Do you recall what the percentage  
8 share was?

9 A. I believe I recall. I am wondering  
10 if it's information that is not to be shared.

11 MS. LEONARD: Beyond the scope of  
12 your deposition.

13 THE WITNESS: I mean, I'm not  
14 employed there now and I'm concerned about the  
15 proprietary nature of that information.

16 MR. MANGI: Actually, that reminds  
17 me, I should put on the record, since we will be  
18 going through some documents from Horizon that  
19 have been marked as Highly Confidential Pursuant  
20 to Protective Order that has been entered by the  
21 judge in this case, I would like to designate  
22 this transcript of this deposition as highly



Page 46

1 appear to be the version of the old chart that  
2 was in effect from August of '01 through and  
3 until June of '04; would that be correct?

4 A. I have no idea.

5 Q. Now, you mentioned that your current  
6 title at Horizon is executive pharmacy director.

7 A. Right.

8 Q. What are your responsibilities in  
9 that position?

10 A. My responsibilities are management  
11 of the pharmacy benefits for Horizon Blue Cross  
12 and Blue Shield of New Jersey, as well as Horizon  
13 Healthcare New York. And that includes oversight  
14 of our PBM arrangement, clinical management of  
15 our P&T and related clinical programs or  
16 unrelated clinical programs. The administration  
17 includes benefit design, all of the interfaces  
18 with the PBM. We have a business development  
19 area and specialty pharmacy and audit and  
20 utilization management. And then sales support,  
21 those things that I think I mentioned previously.

22 Q. Do you subscribe to any periodicals

Page 47

1 on prescription drug pricing, on that topic  
2 generally?

3 A. We have many periodicals and I'm  
4 sure some of them relate to the prices of  
5 prescription drugs.

6 Q. Do you personally subscribe to any  
7 of these periodicals?

8 A. They may be addressed to me.  
9 They're not my personal subscriptions. They're  
10 corporate subscriptions.

11 Q. Do you know if they include price  
12 reporting services?

13 A. We may receive something from Red  
14 Book or First Data Bank. We don't directly  
15 subscribe to that.

16 Q. You're familiar with those price  
17 reporting compendiums, Red Book and First Data  
18 Bank; is that right?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And you're also familiar with  
21 Medi-Span?

22 A. Yes.

Page 48

1 Q. What is your understanding of the  
2 prices that are listed in those price  
3 compendiums?

4 Do you know what is listed in them?

5 A. Do I know what is listed?

6 Do you mean, how they're referenced?

7 Q. Right.

8 A. They're listed generally  
9 alphabetically by drug with one or more prices.

10 Q. Do you know whether or not the  
11 prices are referred to by any particular term?

12 A. I believe that generally there is a  
13 published AWP and I think sometimes, not always  
14 the wholesale acquisition cost. I believe that.  
15 I'm not absolutely certain.

16 Q. You're aware that there is a  
17 differential between the two?

18 A. I am aware of that.

19 Q. What is your understanding of the  
20 relationship between the WAC and the AWP?

21 A. My understanding is that there is a  
22 percentage differential. I don't know if you

Page 49

1 would call it a markup, but a percentage  
2 differential between the wholesale acquisition  
3 cost and AWP.

4 Q. And do you know what that markup is  
5 in general for drugs?

6 A. My understanding is that it varies.

7 Q. To calculate what the differential  
8 is for a particular drug, you could go to the  
9 price reporting service and take a calculator and  
10 calculate the percentage differential between the  
11 two, assuming both were published?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Are you a member of any industry  
14 associations at present?

15 A. I am.

16 Q. Which industry associations are  
17 those?

18 A. The Academy of Managed Care  
19 Pharmacy, AMCP.

20 Q. How long have you been a member of  
21 AMCP?

22 A. Let's see. I've probably been a

# **Exhibit 57**

Moline, IL

Page 1

1                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2                   FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

3  
4       IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL                    )

5       INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE )   MDL No. 1456

6       PRICE LITIGATION                        )   Civil Action: 01-CV-12257-PBS

7       THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO                )

8       ALL CLASS ACTIONS                        )

9  
10               Deposition of CAROL SIDWELL, taken before

11       GREG S. WEILAND, CSR, RMR, CRR, Notary Public,

12       pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for

13       the United States District Court pertaining to the

14       taking of depositions, at Suite 300, 1630 Fifth

15       Avenue, in the City of Moline, Illinois, commencing

16       at 10:38 o'clock a.m., on the 17th day of September,

17       2004.

Page 6	Page 8
<p>1 MS. KNOLL: Erik, Carol has not been sworn 2 in. 3 MR. HAAS: Can you swear in Carol. 4 (Witness sworn.) 5 CAROL SIDWELL 6 after being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 7 EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. HAAS: 9 Q. Ms. Sidwell, would you please state your 10 full name for the record. 11 A. Carol Sidwell. 12 Q. And what is your current position? 13 A. Manager of provider relations. 14 Q. And as manager of provider relations, you 15 report to Michael Baderstadt, correct? 16 A. Baderstadt, yes. 17 Q. Baderstadt. I'm going to walk through 18 with you the same background that we walked through 19 with Michael, and I apologize for putting you 20 through it. 21 But if you would, could you starting with 22 post high school just quickly describe for me your</p>	<p>1 A. Correct. From there I started working at 2 a hospital pharmacy reviewing the physician orders, 3 overseeing injections, filling of the medication 4 carts, being a resource to the physician within the 5 hospital pharmacy environment. 6 Q. What is the time frame that you worked in 7 the hospital? 8 A. I would have to check for exact dates on 9 that, but I believe I started there in '82 or '83, 10 and I worked there for about a three-year time 11 frame, and I left there to then go back in retail 12 pharmacy working for a different chain as a pharmacy 13 manager in one of their retail locations. I worked 14 with that organization in various functions managing 15 pharmacies until 1993, when I became to John Deere 16 Health. 17 Q. And how have your responsibilities and 18 titles changed from 1993 to date while at John Deere 19 Health? 20 A. When I first came to John Deere Health, I 21 don't remember the exact title. I believe it was a 22 pharmaceutical care representative where I would go</p>
Page 7	Page 9
<p>1 employment and educational experience. 2 A. Okay. After graduating from high school, 3 I went to the University of Iowa, graduated from 4 there in 1981 with a degree in pharmacy. During 5 that time there were miscellaneous jobs including 6 restaurant and lifeguarding and non-drug-related 7 experiences. 8 Q. Me too, both of those. 9 A. After graduating in '81, I worked for a 10 retail pharmacy chain for about nine months or so as 11 a staff pharmacist filling prescriptions, billing 12 insurance claims. 13 MS. MacMENAMIN: I'm sorry, the phone 14 seems to be cutting out a little bit. I don't know 15 if the speaker is too far away from the witness. 16 MR. HAAS: I'll turn up the volume too and 17 see if that helps out at all. 18 BY MR. HAAS: 19 Q. Okay. The witness testified that she 20 worked for a retail pharmacy chain as a staff 21 pharmacist and filling prescriptions. 22 Is that correct?</p>	<p>1 out and work with physicians, talk with them about 2 their prescribing habits, their formulary 3 utilization, generic utilization, trying to use 4 first line agents. 5 I was then involved in some special 6 projects putting together a preferred drug list for 7 our TennCare product, the Tennessee Medicaid 8 product, and then went on to other special projects 9 working with what we refer to as the health center 10 or our clinic, our staff model HMOs. As we were 11 building new facilities, I was responsible for 12 designing the pharmacy, hiring the pharmacy staff, 13 getting the licensure, setting up the purchasing 14 agreements for those clinics. 15 And then I went to the provider 16 contracting area where I became responsible for 17 pharmacy contracting and pharmaceutical manufacturer 18 contracting for rebates, as well as the customer 19 service provider service aspects of pharmacy, the 20 claims processing, authorizations, implementation of 21 the drug benefit, interactions with the claims 22 processor.</p>

Page 38

1 of the items available, all of the drugs available  
2 within that given entity and what a -- I'll call it  
3 an average or what an average price would be looking  
4 at brands and generics available.

5 Q. Is it your understanding that the MAC  
6 price that John Deere develops as a proprietary  
7 price will differ from the MAC price that other  
8 health plans use?

9 A. Certainly.

10 Q. And is it fair to say that AWP is not the  
11 basis for the MAC price that John Deere has  
12 developed?

13 A. Absolutely. It is used as one of our  
14 benchmarks in looking at determining a MAC price,  
15 where we would like to achieve at least a certain  
16 percentage discount, but it certainly is not the  
17 biggest factor.

18 Q. During the course of your tenure at  
19 John Deere, has John Deere done any studies or costs  
20 of provider or pharmacy costs of acquisition of  
21 drugs to your knowledge?

22 A. I wouldn't say we have done any formal

Page 39

1 studies of that. Certainly information is available  
2 through journals and through other means to allow us  
3 to know that our reimbursement for pharmacies and  
4 for physicians does include a margin in there.

5 On occasion, if a pharmacist would request  
6 that we change a MAC price or change a reimbursement  
7 for a drug, they do have the opportunity to submit  
8 their acquisition cost in there.

9 Q. Okay. When you referred to the sources of  
10 information about drug costs, is there anything that  
11 particularly comes to mind that you've reviewed over  
12 the last decade?

13 A. Some of it comes from the various  
14 manufacturers. Some of it is a claim review from a  
15 pharmacy where they didn't feel that the  
16 reimbursement was enough and were willing to provide  
17 information. Some of it is drug topics or some of  
18 your journals, conferences such as the Academy of  
19 Managed Care Pharmacy, some of the networking  
20 opportunities there.

21 On occasion some pharmacies have or  
22 physicians have provided a copy of an invoice to us

Page 40

1 to demonstrate what their cost was.

2 Q. To sort of reiterate the conclusion you  
3 just made, it's fair to say that in providing  
4 reimbursement to pharmacies and doctors, John Deere  
5 understood that it was providing an element of  
6 margin to the physicians and the pharmacies; is that  
7 correct?

8 A. That is correct, yes.

9 Q. Now, you also mentioned that you were  
10 involved in the development of John Deere's staff  
11 model HMO.

12 A. Of the pharmacy pieces of that, yes.

13 Q. Okay. And did that staff model HMO have a  
14 particular name?

15 A. John Deere Family Health Plan.

16 Q. Family. And is it correct that that HMO  
17 was in operation from 1993 to 1999, to the best of  
18 your recollection?

19 A. Those dates sound close. I'm not sure  
20 when it actually ceased operation.

21 Q. Describe for me once again what exactly  
22 your involvement was with the HMO.

Page 41

1 A. I was involved with -- we had one HMO, one  
2 staff model that was up and running at that point,  
3 another one that was under development, and we were  
4 looking at opening some additional sites.

5 So I was responsible for getting the  
6 licensure for the facility, working with the  
7 architects and other people as far as the layout of  
8 the pharmacy, the actual design of the pharmacy,  
9 hiring a staff, setting up arrangements with the  
10 wholesalers and with the various buying groups, some  
11 involvement with the manufacturer contracts for own  
12 use purchasing at that point, and pharmacy systems,  
13 basically getting the pharmacy up and ready to run.

14 Q. Were you involved at all in the  
15 negotiation or contracting for drugs that were going  
16 to be dispensed by the staff model pharmacies or  
17 administered by the staff model physicians?

18 A. Some of the agreements were already in  
19 place when I came on board because we had pharmacies  
20 in operation, but we did extend those to other  
21 facilities.

22 Q. Were those contracts with wholesalers or

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 66</p> <p>1 BY MS. MacMENAMIN:</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. You also testified as to your</p> <p>3 belief that the pharmacies had a reasonable margin</p> <p>4 built into the reimbursement that they received from</p> <p>5 you?</p> <p>6 MR. HAAS: Objection to form.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>8 BY MS. MacMENAMIN:</p> <p>9 Q. Can you give us a ballpark guess as to</p> <p>10 what that reasonable margin might have been?</p> <p>11 MR. HAAS: Objection to form.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: I don't know that I know</p> <p>13 their specific margin. I do know that even at AWP</p> <p>14 minus 20 that they were still able to cover their</p> <p>15 operating expenses without losing money, so whatever</p> <p>16 their operating costs would be, their margin was</p> <p>17 still there to cover that along with the dispensing</p> <p>18 fee component that we pay.</p> <p>19 BY MS. MacMENAMIN:</p> <p>20 Q. Okay.</p> <p>21 A. If you look at a pharmacy, in the data</p> <p>22 that I've seen, it looks like the average cost to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 68</p> <p>1 Q. Are you aware of any other benchmarks that</p> <p>2 are available for use in reimbursing pharmacies?</p> <p>3 A. Certainly like the HCFA MAC would be a</p> <p>4 benchmark price. That's one that I don't -- we</p> <p>5 don't use in our business to implement it. We use</p> <p>6 it as one of the things in compiling our own MAC</p> <p>7 pricing.</p> <p>8 Q. Is the HCFA MAC exclusive to generic</p> <p>9 products?</p> <p>10 A. I believe so. It doesn't include all</p> <p>11 generics.</p> <p>12 Q. So just speaking of brand name drugs here</p> <p>13 exclusively, are you aware of any other benchmarks</p> <p>14 available for use in reimbursing pharmacies?</p> <p>15 I'm sorry, I didn't hear your answer if</p> <p>16 you did answer.</p> <p>17 A. I'm still thinking. I'm not aware of any</p> <p>18 easily definable other benchmark out there.</p> <p>19 Certainly there are different sources of AWP than</p> <p>20 what we use today.</p> <p>21 Q. So if you learned that AWP did not have a</p> <p>22 relation to any sort of real world prices, would</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 67</p> <p>1 dispense a prescription used to be 6 something. I</p> <p>2 think it's 7 or 8 something per prescription now.</p> <p>3 And if I'm paying them as in some of these contracts</p> <p>4 \$1.75 or \$1.49 per prescription, there has to be</p> <p>5 additional margin in the drug cost for them to be</p> <p>6 able to continue to be in business.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. From what you're saying, I</p> <p>8 understand that you find AWP to be a useful</p> <p>9 benchmark in place of actual acquisition cost?</p> <p>10 MR. HAAS: Objection to form.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: Yes. It's an industry</p> <p>12 standard.</p> <p>13 BY MS. MacMENAMIN:</p> <p>14 Q. And would you say that it's a useful</p> <p>15 benchmark because it has a relation to some kind of</p> <p>16 real world price?</p> <p>17 MR. HAAS: Objection to form.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: I would say that it's useful</p> <p>19 because it is a benchmark, because it is an industry</p> <p>20 norm that then I can apply discounts to to get</p> <p>21 consistent adjudication of claims.</p> <p>22 BY MS. MacMENAMIN:</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 69</p> <p>1 that affect your negotiations with pharmacies in</p> <p>2 using AWP as a benchmark?</p> <p>3 MR. HAAS: Objection to form.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: I guess I already understand</p> <p>5 that AWP is not necessarily a direct linear</p> <p>6 relationship to the cost or the price that that</p> <p>7 pharmacy pays for the drug, so since I know that</p> <p>8 today, I'm not sure that it would change the way I'm</p> <p>9 doing business or the way I'm contracting with my</p> <p>10 pharmacies.</p> <p>11 BY MS. MacMENAMIN:</p> <p>12 Q. In your negotiations with manufacturers</p> <p>13 for rebates and discounts, are those negotiations</p> <p>14 also based on the benchmarks AWP and WAC?</p> <p>15 A. Those are certainly two of the things that</p> <p>16 are used to calculate the various levels of rebates.</p> <p>17 Q. Can you tell me of any other benchmarks</p> <p>18 that are available?</p> <p>19 A. I look at the other costs of drugs in that</p> <p>20 class based on AWP, based on WAC, and based on the</p> <p>21 rebate amounts that the other manufacturers are</p> <p>22 willing to offer to get down to a net price.</p>

# Exhibit 58



Page 1

1 IN THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

2

3 -O-

4

5 IN RE: :

6 PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY MDL No. 1456

7 AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE : 01-CV-1225

8 LITIGATION

9

10 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF: IHC HEALTH PLANS

11

12 ERIC CANNON

13

14 -O-

15

16 Place: IHC Health Plans

17 4646 West Lake Park Blvd.

18 Salt Lake City, Utah 84120

19 Date: September 13, 2004

20 9:40 a.m.

21 Reporter: Vickie Larsen, CSR/RPR

22 -O-



Page 6

1                                -oOo-

2

3                                E X H I B I T S

4    No.                                Description                                Page

5

6    Exhibit Cannon 012    1999maf                                159

7

8    Exhibit Cannon 013    2001 HPI Physician Fee                                164

9                                Schedules

10

11                                -oOo-

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 7

1    September 13, 2004                                9:40 a.m.

2

3                                P R O C E E D I N G S

4

5                                ERIC CANNON,

6                                called as a witness, having been duly sworn,

7                                was examined and testified as follows:

8

9                                EXAMINATION

10    BY MR. EVERETT:

11                Q.    Would you please state your name and

12                current position for the record.

13                A.    My name is Eric Cannon. I am Director of

14                Pharmacy Services for IHC Health Plans.

15                Q.    Mr. Cannon, have you been deposed before?

16                A.    No.

17                Q.    Let me just run through some of the

18                basics of the deposition.

19                First of all, as I'm sure you realize

20                now, you are under oath. So it's just like you're in

21                a courtroom. Do you understand that?

22                A.    Yes.

Page 8

1                Q.    And because there is a court reporter

2                here who's taking down everything that's said, it's

3                important that you wait until I finish my question

4                before answering.

5                A.    Okay.

6                Q.    Do you understand?

7                And that you speak out loud. The court

8                reporter can't take down head nods.

9                A.    Yes.

10              Q.    If you don't understand anything in any

11              of my questions, feel free to ask me to clarify. I'm

12              happy to do that.

13              A.    Okay.

14              Q.    And if you need to take a break, let me

15              know and I'm happy to do that as well, as long as

16              there's not a question that is pending.

17              A.    Okay.

18              MR. LAWLOR: Clay, then just for purposes

19              of the protective order, we'd like to consider

20              everything that Eric says here highly confidential.

21              MR. EVERETT: Okay.

22              Q.    Mr. Cannon, you understand that you're

Page 9

1    here today testifying on behalf of IHC Health Plans?

2                A.    Yes.

3                Q.    And that my questions today will go to

4                the knowledge of the company, unless I indicate that

5                I'm asking for your personal knowledge. Do you

6                understand that?

7                A.    Yes.

8                Q.    Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm

9                marking as IHC Deposition Exhibit 1.

10              (Exhibit Cannon 001 was marked for identification.)

11              Q.    BY MR. EVERETT: Which is the Amended

12              Notice of Deposition of IHC Health Plan that was sent

13              out last week. Are you familiar with this document?

14              A.    I have seen it before.

15              Q.    On Pages 3 through 6 of the document are

16              a list of deposition subjects. Have you seen these

17              deposition subjects before?

18              A.    Yes, I have.

19              Q.    Are you prepared today to testify about

20              IHC Health Plans' knowledge with regard to each of

21              these deposition items?

22              A.    Yes, I am.

Page 146

1 the other services or procedures provided in a  
2 physician's office.  
3 Q. Does IHC Health Plans have any capitation  
4 contracts with the providers?  
5 A. Not currently that I am aware of.  
6 Q. Did it have capitation contracts with  
7 providers in the past?  
8 A. I'm unaware.  
9 Q. If you will turn, please, to IHC AWP 121.  
10 At the bottom of the page and carrying over into  
11 Page 122 indicates that:  
12 "Provider will be paid [at] the  
13 lesser of [the] Provider's current  
14 prevailing fee or the amounts on the  
15 Health Choice Maximum Allowable Fee  
16 Schedule."  
17 Do you see that?  
18 A. Uh-huh.  
19 Q. Are prescription drugs included on the  
20 maximum allowable fee schedules?  
21 A. Yes, they are.  
22 Q. And are there different maximum allowable

Page 147

1 fee schedules for prescription drugs for different  
2 products sold by IHC?  
3 A. Yes, there are.  
4 Q. Did IHC Health Plans understand that  
5 providers were earning some margin on their sales of  
6 physician administered drugs?  
7 A. Yes.  
8 Q. Are there any particular groups of  
9 physicians that are must haves for the IHC Health  
10 Plans now?  
11 A. IHC Health Plans must maintain a provider  
12 network that meets the medical needs of our patients.  
13 So we must have adequate numbers of primary care  
14 physicians that would include internal medicine,  
15 family practice, pediatricians. We must have adequate  
16 numbers of specialty providers, whether they are  
17 orthopedic surgeons, hematologists, oncologists,  
18 rheumatologists, neurologists.  
19 Is anyone of those providers a must have  
20 over the other? I don't think, although from time to  
21 time there may be a specialty with whom we are more  
22 challenged to have access than another.

Page 148

1 Q. Are there separate negotiations with  
2 providers about fee schedules?  
3 A. Yes.  
4 Q. Do different providers -- are different  
5 providers paid based on different fee schedules by IHC  
6 Health funds?  
7 A. For injectable drugs all providers are  
8 paid off the same fee schedule -- or physician -- it  
9 would be better if I said physician administered drugs  
10 as opposed to injectables.  
11 Q. So there's a single fee schedule for all  
12 physician administered drugs?  
13 A. Yes.  
14 Q. Have any providers threatened to leave  
15 IHC Health Plans network due to the amount that's  
16 included on the fee schedule for physician  
17 administered drugs?  
18 A. Yes.  
19 Q. And how did IHC Health Plans respond to  
20 that threat?  
21 A. The threats that I'm thinking of were in  
22 response to our negotiating over lowering the price we

Page 149

1 currently paid or changing the reimbursement  
2 methodology for injectable drugs, and it centered  
3 around rheumatologists.  
4 Q. And did IHC Health Plans maintain its  
5 contractual relationship with those rheumatologists?  
6 A. Yes, we did.  
7 Q. Did IHC Health Plans change its  
8 methodology or lower its payment for physician  
9 administered drugs to those rheumatologists?  
10 A. No, we did not.  
11 Q. Did IHC Health Plan try to figure out  
12 providers cost in determining the amounts that they  
13 would agree to reimburse based on a fee schedule for  
14 physician administered drugs?  
15 A. Can you repeat that.  
16 Q. Sure. Did you try to figure out what  
17 physicians were paying for drugs that were reimbursed  
18 by IHC Health Plans?  
19 A. I don't think we tried to figure out what  
20 they were paying. I think we had a ballpark idea of  
21 what they were paying. Did we do an analysis or go to  
22 a lot of work to figure out? No.

# Exhibit 59

Chicago, IL

Page 1

1                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2                   FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

3                   - - -

4   In Re:   PHARMACEUTICAL                   : MDL DOCKET NO.

5   INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESAL           : CIVIL ACTION #

6   PRICE LITIGATION                       :   01CV12257-PBS

7   -----  
8   THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

9   ALL ACTIONS  
10  -----

11               The deposition of PAULA PFANKUCH,  
12   called by the Defendants Pfizer, Pharmacia, and  
13   Upjohn for examination, taken pursuant to the  
14   Federal Rules of Civil Procedure of the United  
15   States District Courts pertaining to the taking  
16   of depositions, taken before KIMBERLY WINKLER  
17   CHRISTOPHER, a Notary Public within and for the  
18   County of Kane, State of Illinois, and a  
19   Certified Shorthand Reporter of said State, taken  
20   at 300 East Randolph Drive, Suite 2800, Chicago,  
21   Illinois, on the 14th day of September, 2004, at  
22   the hour of 9:40 o'clock a.m.

Chicago, IL

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 6</p> <p>1 A. The case involves Evergreen Medical and 2 our ClaimCheck product. 3 Q. Okay. So you've been through this 4 before. You know, I think, the ground rules. 5 I'm going to ask you some questions today, and 6 you're going to answer them. The court reporter 7 is going to be writing down what we say, so it's 8 important both that we talk out loud as opposed 9 to, you know, in casual conversation you'll nod 10 your head or smile to answer and that sort of 11 thing that she can't really take down. So it's 12 important, especially with yes-or-no questions, 13 to make sure we get a verbal answer that we can 14 put on the record. 15 It's also important that we take turns. 16 So if, you know, you'll let me finish my 17 questions before you start your answer and I'll 18 do my best to not interrupt you and let your 19 answers finish so that -- she can't really take 20 down if two people are talking over each other, 21 just so that we can be clear, make sure that we 22 understand everything.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 8</p> <p>1 anything that you may know personally because 2 what we're trying to get at is everything that 3 your company knows about these topics that we're 4 going to be asking about today. 5 Do you have any questions about that? 6 A. No, I don't. 7 Q. What is your official position at 8 BlueCross BlueShield of Illinois? 9 A. Senior manager, professional 10 reimbursement programs. 11 Q. And what exactly does that position 12 entail? 13 A. It's a fairly wide scope. It involves 14 three slightly different but related areas. 15 Probably the most prominent is the reimbursement 16 to professional providers. That includes 17 physicians. 18 Additionally, I'm responsible for the 19 ClaimCheck auditing within our adjudication 20 system. Additionally, we maintain the procedure 21 code master file that allows professional claims 22 to be processed.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 7</p> <p>1 My job today is to ask you clear, 2 precise questions that you can understand and 3 answer. I guarantee you there will be some point 4 where I will fail in that endeavor and will ask 5 you a question that you will not understand. And 6 if I do that, just let me know. Just say, you 7 know, I don't understand that question, can you 8 clarify it or ask it another way. So just let me 9 know if you have any questions about anything. 10 As I think you understand, you're here 11 testifying today as a representative for Health 12 Care Service Corporation which we all typically 13 call BlueCross BlueShield of Illinois. 14 One of the issues that that will 15 present is as I'm asking my questions, I will 16 probably use the word "you" a lot, such as do you 17 know or did you know X, Y, or Z. And when I do 18 that, what I'm asking for is any knowledge that 19 you know on behalf of the corporation. So if a 20 colleague has told you something about how the 21 company does business, if you could provide that 22 sort of information as well in addition to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9</p> <p>1 Q. And when you say the procedure code 2 master file that allows claims to be processed, 3 that's some sort of automated system? 4 A. It's an automated system. I'll give 5 you an example to help clarify. 6 If you look at the AMA CPT manual, 7 you'll see approximately 6,000 procedure codes. 8 Those procedure codes, along with their 9 descriptions, have to be placed on the 10 adjudicator to allow claims to come in and be 11 processed through the system. So we are 12 responsible for getting that procedure code out 13 there and assimilated information. 14 Q. Okay. Do those codes include J codes 15 for physician-administered drugs? 16 A. It includes the CPT codes as well as 17 the HCPCS codes H-C-P-C-S -- I believe. And 18 that's where the J codes would fall. 19 Q. We deal so much with drugs sometimes we 20 forget there are other codes out there other than 21 the J codes. 22 How long have you held your position as</p>

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

Chicago, IL

Page 50

1 Q. When we left off, we were talking about  
2 the use of AWP as a benchmark.

3 Did BlueCross BlueShield of Illinois  
4 understand that using a benchmark may result in  
5 some providers getting a higher margin on drugs?

6 A. What BlueCross BlueShield realizes is  
7 that certain physician groups may because of  
8 their volume be able to purchase things at a  
9 lower rate than others.

10 Q. And if all purchase groups are being  
11 reimbursed at the same rate, then they would have  
12 different margins for their drugs; is that  
13 accurate?

14 A. That would be accurate.

15 Q. Did BlueCross BlueShield of Illinois  
16 accept those different margins as a cost of being  
17 able to use a benchmark?

18 A. I guess I don't understand what you  
19 mean by "cost."

20 Q. Were you willing to allow different  
21 providers to earn different margins so that you  
22 could use a benchmark for pricing?

Page 51

1 A. BlueCross's decision had less to do  
2 with that type of thinking than it did with our  
3 pricing system. Accept one price for one  
4 procedure code per fee schedule. So regardless  
5 we can set one price for everybody.

6 Q. So it was in essence a technical  
7 decision you had to agree on one price?

8 A. Yes, that would be correct.

9 Q. You mentioned for several of the  
10 fee-for-service plans such as the PPO that  
11 there's a defined physician network.

12 How are those networks created?

13 A. Those networks are created by provider  
14 affairs. Provider affairs contracts with the  
15 various specialty providers. It extends beyond  
16 physicians certainly to other provider types, so  
17 I don't want you to think it's strictly  
18 physicians. Some of that is dictated by the  
19 direction of the medical marketplace, where  
20 things are going. And some of that is dictated  
21 by our large national accounts, but all of the  
22 contracting is done by provider affairs.

Page 52

1 Q. Do you know how that negotiation worked  
2 for reimbursement of the providers?

3 A. The PPO does not have a negotiation  
4 process. The PPO is a set fee schedule, or SMA  
5 as we talked about earlier. And it is a  
6 take-it-or-leave-it proposition from a pricing  
7 perspective.

8 Q. So all providers are reimbursed at the  
9 same rate?

10 A. Until the last two to three years, that  
11 is correct.

12 Q. And what happened in the last two or  
13 three years to change that?

14 A. In the last two to three years, we've  
15 had a few very large, prominent provider groups  
16 pressure us into paying slightly higher rates  
17 because they are key to the network. We had no  
18 choice but to develop separate fee schedules for  
19 those providers.

20 Q. So an increase in the amount of  
21 reimbursement is one of the tools that you use to  
22 keep these large, prominent provider groups in

Page 53

1 your network?

2 A. In limited circumstances, very limited  
3 circumstances.

4 Q. But that has happened?

5 A. Yes, it has.

6 Q. Do providers compete to be in your  
7 network?

8 A. I don't know what you mean by  
9 "compete."

10 Q. Let me put it another way.

11 Does any provider who wants to be in  
12 the BlueCross BlueShield network -- are they able  
13 to join the network assuming that they're willing  
14 to agree to the fee schedule?

15 A. In terms of the PPO, that is correct,  
16 assuming they are what we consider a solicited  
17 provider type, meaning physicians are solicited  
18 and therefore any physician that's willing to  
19 accept our fee schedule and the other terms that  
20 you've seen in the contract -- if they elect to  
21 agree to that, they can be in the network. If  
22 not, they wouldn't be in the network.

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

# Exhibit 60



HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

-----X  
In Re: PHARMACEUTICAL )  
 )  
INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE ) MDL No. 1456  
 )  
PRICE LITIGATION ) CIVIL ACTION NO.  
 ) 01-CV-12257-PBS  
 )  
-----)  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO )  
ALL ACTIONS )  
-----X

30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF DAN DRAGALIN, M.D.  
New York, New York  
Friday, September 17, 2004



Page 6

1 A. Generally it occurred when I was the  
2 corporate medical director of Prudential in  
3 the '80s, and there were a number of insurance  
4 issues, suits, et cetera.

5 Q. Okay.

6 MS. FELLER: Excuse me. Who is on  
7 the phone?

8 MR. MACORETTA: I'm sorry, this is  
9 John Macoretta from Spector, Roseman & Kodroff  
10 for the plaintiffs. Good morning.

11 MS. FELLER: Good morning. This is  
12 Marcy Feller. John, can you spell your last  
13 name?

14 MR. MACORETTA: Sure. Macoretta.  
15 M-a-c-o-r-e-t-t-a.

16 MS. FELLER: Thank you.

17 MR. MACORETTA: Dr. Dragalin, can you  
18 just move the phone a little closer or speak up?

19 THE WITNESS: All right. There you  
20 go.

21 MR. MACORETTA: That's much better.  
22 Thank you.

Page 8

1 early lunch around 11:30 so that Dr. Dragalin can  
2 step out for a quick conference call, and then  
3 reconvene somewhere shortly after noon.

4 MR. MACORETTA: That's fine.

5 Q. Also you're here testifying, as you  
6 know, as a representative of MultiPlan, and  
7 throughout the deposition I'll probably use the  
8 term "you" in some of my questions, and when I  
9 use that I'm going to be referring to MultiPlan  
10 as a company, not necessarily you as an  
11 individual. So if there's information that you  
12 have that might be from documents or other people  
13 in the company, if you could provide that as  
14 well, that would be appreciated.

15 Do you understand that?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Okay. Dr. Dragalin, what is your  
18 educational background?

19 A. I went to undergraduate school at the  
20 Georgia Institute of Technology, got a BS in  
21 applied biology.

22 I went to medical school at

Page 7

1 MR. WELLS:

2 Q. Okay, we were talking about your  
3 prior depositions. Obviously I think you know  
4 the rules and how this works. I'm going to ask  
5 you questions, you're going to answer them, the  
6 reporter is going to write everything down. For  
7 that reason it's really important that we take  
8 turns and not talk over each other so that we can  
9 get a clear transcript. It's also important that  
10 we give verbal answers as opposed to nodding the  
11 head and that sort of thing as you might do in  
12 casual conversation so that the reporter can get  
13 everything down.

14 My task today is to try to ask you  
15 clear questions that you can answer. I assure  
16 you at some point I will not do that, there will  
17 be a question that you don't understand. If and  
18 when I do that, just let me know and I'll try to  
19 rephrase it or ask it another way.

20 If you need a break for any reason,  
21 just let me know. And I don't think John was on  
22 the phone, but I think we're going to take an

Page 9

1 Georgetown University, got an M.D. there. I did  
2 my residency, pediatric internship and residency  
3 at Emory University in Atlanta.

4 Board certified in pediatrics. And  
5 then at Emory I received a master's in public  
6 health. And there's a couple of fellowships  
7 thrown in there somewhere.

8 Q. And what is your position at  
9 MultiPlan?

10 A. Executive vice president in charge of  
11 the network.

12 Q. And how long have you held that  
13 position?

14 A. About a year and three months.

15 Q. And what did you do before that?

16 A. I was the president of the Northeast  
17 region for Great West Life Insurance.

18 Q. And what generally were your  
19 responsibilities at Great Western Life? Great  
20 West Life?

21 A. I had a 13-state region covering the  
22 whole northeast, down through Virginia, and I had

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

Page 58

1 would be excessive, and we would use the AWP  
 2 knowledge to substantiate our claim, our charge.  
 3 Q. And what were the providers' typical  
 4 reactions to that?  
 5 A. Too bad for you.  
 6 Q. And this e-mail continues later, and  
 7 I quote: "It is also not difficult to convince  
 8 providers (principally oncologists, nephrologists  
 9 and endocrinologists, dialysis centers and home  
 10 infusion agencies) to accept, as payment in full,  
 11 some variation of average wholesale price (AWP)  
 12 (or- 10%) since they know they have been ripping  
 13 off the payor community for decades."  
 14 Is that an accurate statement?  
 15 A. Yes.  
 16 Q. Why do you believe that the doctors  
 17 knew that they were "ripping off the payor  
 18 community for decades"?  
 19 A. Well, because they know what they get  
 20 the price for. I mean they know what they buy  
 21 the drug for and they know what they're charging  
 22 the drug out for. And in general their attitude

Page 59

1 is, especially -- I mean the oncologists are the  
 2 most egregious -- their attitude is that by  
 3 substantially overcharging for the drug, they're  
 4 making up for the underpayment they receive for  
 5 the rest of their services. So to them it's a  
 6 balance that they evoke.  
 7 Q. Did you ever have any discussions  
 8 with your payor clients about that?  
 9 A. With selected ones, yes.  
 10 Q. Did you ever specifically discuss the  
 11 idea that some providers felt that the  
 12 reimbursement levels were appropriate to  
 13 compensate them for undercompensation for their  
 14 services?  
 15 A. Yes.  
 16 Q. And what were the payors' reactions  
 17 to that?  
 18 A. Well, they varied, but there were  
 19 proposals such as, well, you know, why don't we  
 20 increase the administrative portion of the bill  
 21 so that we can, you know, so that a decrease in  
 22 the AWP -- or a decrease in the reimbursement for

Page 60

1 the actual drug, it would be more palatable.  
 2 Q. And did any payors, to your  
 3 knowledge, actually go in that direction?  
 4 A. Not through us. They might have  
 5 through their own primary networks, but not  
 6 through us.  
 7 Q. Did any payors say something along  
 8 the lines of yes, we understand that we're  
 9 providing a margin on a drug to compensate these  
 10 providers for their services?  
 11 A. Yeah, they all agreed to that. It's  
 12 the size of the margin that's the issue here.  
 13 Well, the size and the variability of the margin,  
 14 I should say.  
 15 Q. Is it fair to say that different  
 16 payors had different expectations of what those  
 17 margins should be?  
 18 A. Yes.  
 19 Q. I would like to move on to a second  
 20 e-mail here, this one is on page 12, and it's the  
 21 second e-mail, actually, on the page from you to  
 22 a group of people beginning with Andrea Rowe, and

Page 61

1 dated June 16 of 2003. Do you see that?  
 2 A. Yes.  
 3 Q. And it, No. 2 in this e-mail makes a  
 4 proposal to, quote, "reprice all J and Q codes at  
 5 AWP and determine additional potential savings."  
 6 Why did you recommend to reprice at  
 7 AWP?  
 8 A. Well, the recommendation was not to  
 9 reprice at AWP, rather, it was to run an analysis  
 10 repricing at AWP, and by finding out the  
 11 difference between AWP generically and what we  
 12 were repricing at, we would then have the margin,  
 13 if you will, and then we could analyze applying  
 14 various factors to the margin -- you know, plus  
 15 10 percent, plus 20 percent, et cetera. So it  
 16 was an analytic exercise, not a recommendation  
 17 that we reprice at AWP.  
 18 Q. And if we could look at the third  
 19 e-mail, which is on page 24 of Exhibit 2. It's  
 20 an e-mail to you from Karen M-u-c-c-i-n-o dated  
 21 June 16 of 2003.  
 22 A. Right.

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

# Exhibit 61

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN RE: )  
 )  
PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY ) Civil Action No.  
AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE ) 01CV12257-PBS  
LITIGATION )

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

DEPOSITION

of JOE SPAHN

Taken at Anthem

4361 Irwin Simpson Road

Mason, Ohio 45040

on November 30, 2004, at 9:12 a.m.

Reported by: Rhonda Lawrence, RPR/CRR

- 0 -

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 6</p> <p>1 JOE SPAHN</p> <p>2 being first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified,</p> <p>3 deposes and says as follows:</p> <p>4 EXAMINATION</p> <p>5 BY MR. MANGI:</p> <p>6 Q. Good morning, Mr. Spahn.</p> <p>7 A. Good morning.</p> <p>8 Q. As I said, my name is Adeel Mangi.</p> <p>9 I'm from the law firm of Patterson, Belknap,</p> <p>10 Webb &amp; Tyler. We represent the defendant</p> <p>11 drug manufacturers in this case.</p> <p>12 MR. MANGI: Before we begin,</p> <p>13 pursuant to a conversation I just had with</p> <p>14 counsel for Anthem, we're going to designate</p> <p>15 this deposition transcript and the</p> <p>16 transcripts for all Anthem witnesses we'll</p> <p>17 be taking over the next couple of days as</p> <p>18 highly confidential pursuant to the</p> <p>19 protective order. And we can revisit that</p> <p>20 as to sections as necessary in the future.</p> <p>21 MR. THOMAS: Great.</p> <p>22 Q. Mr. Spahn, thank you for taking the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 8</p> <p>1 A. All right.</p> <p>2 Q. If at any point during the</p> <p>3 deposition you'd like to take a break,</p> <p>4 please let me know, and as soon as possible,</p> <p>5 we'll take a break.</p> <p>6 A. All right.</p> <p>7 Q. What is your current job title,</p> <p>8 Mr. Spahn?</p> <p>9 A. My current job title is senior</p> <p>10 health care consultant.</p> <p>11 Q. And who's your employer?</p> <p>12 A. Anthem Blue Cross/Blue Shield.</p> <p>13 Q. Is your work focused on a particular</p> <p>14 region?</p> <p>15 A. Anthem Midwest.</p> <p>16 Q. What states fall within that area of</p> <p>17 responsibility?</p> <p>18 A. Ohio, Kentucky and Indiana.</p> <p>19 Q. How long have you been in this</p> <p>20 position?</p> <p>21 A. Since 1992.</p> <p>22 Q. And you've held the same title,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 7</p> <p>1 time to speak with us today. Have you ever</p> <p>2 been deposed before?</p> <p>3 A. I don't believe so. I don't ever</p> <p>4 recall having, like, a court reporter. So I</p> <p>5 think the answer's no.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Let me just run through some</p> <p>7 of the standard ground rules for a</p> <p>8 deposition, then.</p> <p>9 The first is, it's important that</p> <p>10 you answer all questions verbally so that</p> <p>11 the court reporter can take down your</p> <p>12 answers. She can't take down a nod of the</p> <p>13 head or shrug of the shoulders. Okay?</p> <p>14 A. (Indicates affirmatively.)</p> <p>15 Q. And you'll have to answer that</p> <p>16 verbally.</p> <p>17 MR. THOMAS: Say okay.</p> <p>18 A. Oh. Okay.</p> <p>19 Q. Just so she can write it down.</p> <p>20 If at any point a question that I</p> <p>21 ask you is unclear, please stop me and tell</p> <p>22 me that, and I'll do my best to rephrase it.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9</p> <p>1 senior health care consultant, since 1992?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Is that when you joined Anthem?</p> <p>4 A. No.</p> <p>5 Q. When did you join Anthem?</p> <p>6 A. I joined Anthem in April of '87.</p> <p>7 Q. We'll go through your employment</p> <p>8 history from '87 to the present in the</p> <p>9 moment.</p> <p>10 But first, perhaps you could</p> <p>11 describe for me your educational background</p> <p>12 after high school.</p> <p>13 A. I have a bachelor's in accounting</p> <p>14 and an MBA in finance.</p> <p>15 Q. When did you get your bachelor's in</p> <p>16 accounting?</p> <p>17 A. I got my bachelor's in 1972.</p> <p>18 Q. Where did you get that</p> <p>19 qualification?</p> <p>20 A. University of Cincinnati.</p> <p>21 Q. And the MBA?</p> <p>22 A. From Xavier University, in 1982.</p>

Page 90

Page 92

1 baseline.  
2 Q. Okay. And since that's the  
3 baseline, is it fair to say that, as a  
4 general proposition, providers are seeking  
5 reimbursement at an amount greater than the  
6 Medicare fee schedule?

7 A. In general, yes. But there's  
8 another component, too, which is volume.  
9 You have to -- you know, if Anthem is --  
10 drives a lot of volume to that provider, you  
11 know, they may be willing to -- because, you  
12 know, what they're looking at is their total  
13 reimbursement. You got the -- how much  
14 you're paying them for each procedure, but  
15 also the number of procedures that they do.

16 So if Anthem has a large membership  
17 in an area, they may be willing to take less  
18 than the actual fees, but they make more  
19 money because of the volume.

20 Q. So the determination of the  
21 reimbursement rate that will be paid to a  
22 practice is very much an individualized

1 power, the amount of volume that's driven to  
2 it by Anthem?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. Are there other factors that go into  
5 that calculation?

6 A. I think those are the main ones.

7 Q. Okay. Now, do you have an  
8 understanding -- well, withdraw that.

9 You know that there are some drugs  
10 that can be administered either in a  
11 physician's office or in a hospital,  
12 correct?

13 A. I assume there are. Again, I'm only  
14 familiar with the physician side.

15 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding  
16 as to whether Anthem regards the  
17 administration of drugs in physicians'  
18 offices as being more or less cost-effective  
19 than the administration of the same drug in  
20 a hospital setting?

21 A. I don't know. I've never heard  
22 anyone talk about that.

Page 91

Page 93

1 issue focusing on that particular practice,  
2 correct?

3 A. Did you say an individual practice?

4 Q. Let me clarify the question.

5 We've discussed how there are some  
6 competitive factors that give one practice a  
7 stronger bargaining practice than another,  
8 right?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. And what we just discussed is that  
11 volume would also be a factor in determining  
12 the reimbursement rates, how much volume  
13 Anthem drives towards a particular physician  
14 practice?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. So it's fair to say that the  
17 determination of the amount that Anthem will  
18 reimburse a practice for drugs that are  
19 administered in office turns on factors  
20 specific to that practice, right?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. Including its competitive bargaining

1 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any analysis  
2 at Anthem regarding the relative costs of  
3 administration of a drug in a physician's  
4 office versus a hospital setting?

5 A. No, I haven't.

6 Q. Now, you testified earlier that  
7 Anthem has -- does not know exactly what  
8 providers are paying to acquire drugs,  
9 correct?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. That's not something that --  
12 withdraw that.

13 Anthem does not require providers to  
14 disclose their acquisition costs for drugs  
15 as part of their contracts with those  
16 providers, correct?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. So providers' acquisition costs for  
19 drugs do not form part of Anthem's  
20 determination of what it will reimburse them  
21 in relation to drugs?

22 A. Correct.

24 (Pages 90 to 93)



Page 94

1 Q. The reimbursement is driven entirely  
2 by the fee schedule?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. Regardless of what the specific  
5 provider's acquisition costs for those drugs  
6 may be?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. So if, for example, Anthem were to  
9 learn that a particular provider were  
10 getting a discount or a rebate on a  
11 particular drug that lowered his acquisition  
12 costs for that drug, that wouldn't change  
13 the amount that Anthem is reimbursing that  
14 practice in relation to that drug, right?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Because the reimbursement amount is  
17 tied to the fee schedule?

18 A. Right.

19 Q. And if Anthem were to learn that  
20 providers in a region were getting a  
21 discount or rebate from a drug manufacturer  
22 in relation to a particular drug, again,

Page 95

1 that wouldn't change the amount Anthem  
2 reimburses because that's tied to the fee  
3 schedule?

4 MR. THOMAS: Asked and answered.

5 A. Yes. That's correct.

6 Q. Do you know whether Anthem's  
7 contracts with providers contain  
8 confidentiality clauses?

9 A. I don't know.

10 Q. Do you know whether or not -- are  
11 you aware of any free sample programs  
12 whereby providers can get free samples of  
13 drugs from manufacturers?

14 A. No, I'm not aware.

15 Q. That's not an area that you deal  
16 with?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Are you familiar with the major drug  
19 wholesalers operating the market today?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Do you have an understanding of what  
22 wholesalers pay to acquire drugs?

Page 96

1 A. No, I don't.

2 Q. Are you familiar with prompt pay  
3 discounts?

4 A. No, I'm not.

5 Q. You've never heard that term?

6 A. No, I haven't.

7 Q. To the best of your knowledge, do  
8 you know of any instances where providers  
9 have conspired with drug manufacturers to  
10 inflate the average wholesale prices for  
11 drugs?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Are you aware of any instances where  
14 pharmacies or pharmacy benefits managers  
15 have conspired with any drug manufacturers  
16 to inflate any drug's average wholesale  
17 prices?

18 A. No.

19 MR. MATT: Objection. No  
20 foundation.

21 MR. THOMAS: I was just going to let  
22 it go.

Page 97

1 Q. Do you know whether Anthem has been  
2 involved in any litigations pertaining to  
3 average wholesale prices for drugs other  
4 than this one here today?

5 A. No.

6 MR. THOMAS: Objection. Foundation.

7 Q. Do you know of any other litigations  
8 that Anthem has been involved in relating to  
9 reimbursements to providers for drugs  
10 administered in office?

11 A. No.

12 MR. THOMAS: Same objection.

13 MR. MANGI: Let's take another quick  
14 break and then we'll look at some documents.  
15 (Recess taken.)

16 BY MR. MANGI:

17 Q. Prior to the break, we were talking  
18 about providers' acquisition costs and the  
19 fact they're not relevant to Anthem's  
20 reimbursement amounts. Do you recall that  
21 testimony?

22 A. Yes.

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

# **Exhibit 62**



Wellesley, MA

Page 1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

3 NO. 01CV12257-PBS

4  
5  
6 In re: PHARMACEUTICAL )  
7 INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE )  
8 PRICE LITIGATION )

9 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: )  
ALL ACTIONS )

10 \_\_\_\_\_ )

11  
12 DEPOSITION OF ROBERT C. FARIAS,  
13 called as a witness by and on behalf of the  
14 Defendants, pursuant to the applicable provisions  
15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before P.  
16 Jodi Ohnemus, Notary Public, Certified Shorthand  
17 Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and  
18 Registered Merit Reporter, within and for the  
19 Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the offices of  
20 Harvard Pilgrim Health Care, 93 Worcester Road,  
21 Wellesley, Massachusetts, on Wednesday, 20 October,  
22 2004, commencing at 10:05 a.m.

<p>Page 18</p> <p>1 to?</p> <p>2 A. Next title was senior project manager; and</p> <p>3 I did that for about two years. That function was</p> <p>4 in the network management area -- a variety of</p> <p>5 projects related to network management that could</p> <p>6 be related to medical management, could be related</p> <p>7 to referral authorization type things; could be</p> <p>8 related to managing recontracting efforts. Just a</p> <p>9 wide variety of projects.</p> <p>10 Q. When you say, "network," you're referring</p> <p>11 to networks of providers?</p> <p>12 A. That's right. It was an</p> <p>13 internally-focused position.</p> <p>14 Q. What do you mean by that?</p> <p>15 A. Meaning that I didn't have contact with</p> <p>16 providers. I worked on projects that supported the</p> <p>17 work of network management.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. You held that position for about</p> <p>19 two years you said?</p> <p>20 A. That's right.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. What was the next area that you</p> <p>22 moved into?</p>	<p>Page 20</p> <p>1 variety of projects. You know, liaisons with other</p> <p>2 departments and so forth.</p> <p>3 Q. The focus you said was entirely on the</p> <p>4 administrative side of managing the department?</p> <p>5 A. Administration and planning. The planning</p> <p>6 -- it was really a split function, and it continues</p> <p>7 to be. But the planning side was related to, you</p> <p>8 know, the significant, you know, project business</p> <p>9 unit initiatives, contracting being primarily --</p> <p>10 Q. How long did you remain in that position?</p> <p>11 A. Actually, it was a little bit of an</p> <p>12 evolution. Probably about a year. That position</p> <p>13 evolved into my current role, director of planning</p> <p>14 and administration. When there was a</p> <p>15 reorganization, contracting became more of a broad</p> <p>16 business unit again. Network service and</p> <p>17 operations is the name of the business unit. So,</p> <p>18 my title now and following being manager of</p> <p>19 planning and administration for contracting was</p> <p>20 director of planning administration for network</p> <p>21 service and operations.</p> <p>22 MR. MANGI: I'm sorry. Could you read</p>
<p>Page 19</p> <p>1 A. Next area was specifically to the</p> <p>2 contracting department in a project management</p> <p>3 role. That title was manager of planning and</p> <p>4 administration.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And you moved into that position</p> <p>6 sometime around 2000, is that correct?</p> <p>7 A. Probably about 2000, yeah.</p> <p>8 Q. What were your responsibilities in that</p> <p>9 position?</p> <p>10 A. In that position I was responsible for</p> <p>11 both the administrative side of managing the</p> <p>12 contracting department and the administrative side</p> <p>13 -- I mean the departmental administrative budget,</p> <p>14 the infrastructure of the department -- project</p> <p>15 management specific to the contracting department.</p> <p>16 For example, you know, when recontracting was, you</p> <p>17 know, kicking off, I would be responsible for</p> <p>18 drafting, you know, notification letters that would</p> <p>19 go out to the -- to the providers, responsible for</p> <p>20 working with legal on updating the contract</p> <p>21 templates, and also, managing the work flows</p> <p>22 related to recontracting. And again, a wide</p>	<p>Page 21</p> <p>1 back that last answer, please.</p> <p>2 (Answer read back.)</p> <p>3 Q. So, your current position is director of</p> <p>4 planning and administration, right?</p> <p>5 A. Right.</p> <p>6 Q. And you've held that since 2001.</p> <p>7 A. Yeah.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Have your responsibilities changed</p> <p>9 from your manager of planning and administration</p> <p>10 position?</p> <p>11 A. Yes. In addition to those</p> <p>12 responsibilities, I have reporting -- folks</p> <p>13 reporting to me, including the provider</p> <p>14 communications and training area. There's a small</p> <p>15 group of project managers and a budget coordinator</p> <p>16 which, again, they focus primarily on the</p> <p>17 infrastructure and administration side of things.</p> <p>18 In addition to that, the provider reimbursement</p> <p>19 area reports to me.</p> <p>20 Q. What are your responsibilities in relation</p> <p>21 to that provider reimbursement area?</p> <p>22 A. The manager of provider reimbursement</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 150</p> <p>1 who sets AWP?</p> <p>2 A. I -- again, I didn't know that it was set.</p> <p>3 I don't know.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. So you have no idea who sets AWP.</p> <p>5 A. Right.</p> <p>6 Q. You referred to AWP as being an industry</p> <p>7 standard.</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. When you say that, do you understand that</p> <p>10 it's standard of the industry to use AWP as a</p> <p>11 reimbursement benchmark, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 MR. NALVEN: Objection.</p> <p>14 Q. And you understand that it's standard in</p> <p>15 the industry to reimburse at a discount off AWP,</p> <p>16 correct?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Mr. Nalven asked you a bunch of questions</p> <p>19 about OIG and Medicare.</p> <p>20 A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>21 Q. You're not an expert in OIG or Medicare,</p> <p>22 are you?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 152</p> <p>1 Q. So, if a physician were committing a crime</p> <p>2 and billing for a drug that he had got as a free</p> <p>3 sample, Harvard Pilgrim would still reimburse him,</p> <p>4 but would hope that the authorities would catch up</p> <p>5 with him, right?</p> <p>6 A. I think that's safe to say.</p> <p>7 Q. And Harvard Pilgrim doesn't have any</p> <p>8 knowledge about what providers' acquisition costs</p> <p>9 are, right?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. Doesn't require them to disclose those.</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 Q. And if it learned that those were higher</p> <p>14 or lower than it currently thinks they are, that</p> <p>15 wouldn't change the fact that it reimburses that</p> <p>16 methodology, which is 95 percent of AWP?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 Q. Indeed, if it learned that in a particular</p> <p>19 instance physicians were getting a particular drug</p> <p>20 at a -- were getting a rebate or a discount from a</p> <p>21 manufacturer on a particular drug, that wouldn't</p> <p>22 change the fact that Harvard Pilgrim's standard</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 151</p> <p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. So, you were just testifying about your</p> <p>3 general impressions, is that right?</p> <p>4 A. Based on previous experiences, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. But you have no precise knowledge</p> <p>6 about what the role of OIG is in relation to</p> <p>7 Medicare.</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 MR. NALVEN: Objection.</p> <p>10 Q. Now, then there were a whole bunch of</p> <p>11 questions about whether or not -- well, your</p> <p>12 knowledge of physicians' acquisition costs and so</p> <p>13 on.</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Let's see if we can get that straight in</p> <p>16 my mind, based on your earlier testimony when we</p> <p>17 were speaking.</p> <p>18 A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>19 Q. Physicians' acquisition costs form no part</p> <p>20 of Harvard Pilgrim's reimbursement methodology,</p> <p>21 right?</p> <p>22 A. Correct.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 153</p> <p>1 across the board methodology is 95 percent of AWP?</p> <p>2 A. Correct.</p> <p>3 MR. NALVEN: Objection.</p> <p>4 MR. MANGI: That's it.</p> <p>5 MR. NALVEN: I have nothing further.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: Okay. Great.</p> <p>7 (Whereupon the deposition ended at</p> <p>8 12:52 p.m.)</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p>

# Exhibit 63

1                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2                   FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS  
3

4                   In Re: PHARMACEUTICAL                   ) MDL DOCKET NO.  
5                   INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE               ) Civil Action #  
6                   PRICE LITIGATION                         ) 01CV12257-PBS  
7                   \_\_\_\_\_ )  
8                   \_\_\_\_\_ )

9                   THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL         )  
10                   ACTIONS                                 )  
11                   \_\_\_\_\_ )

12                   - - - - -  
13                   DEPOSITION OF:   RAEANN MAXWELL  
14                   VOLUME II  
15                   - - - - -

16                   September 10, 2004  
17                   Friday, 1:30 p.m.  
18

19                   DEPOSITION OF RAEANN MAXWELL,  
20                   a witness, called by the Defendants for examination,  
21                   in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil  
22                   Procedure, taken by and before Claire Gross, CRR,  
RDR, a Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for  
the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at the offices of  
UPMC, One Chatham Center, 112 Washington Place,  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, on Friday, September 10,  
2004, commencing at 1:30 p.m.  
23

24                   - - - - -

Page 2

Page 4

1 APPEARANCES:

2  
3 FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:  
4 Ed Notargiacomo, Esq.  
5 HAGENS BERMAN, LLP  
6 1 Main Street  
7 Fourth Floor  
8 Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142  
9 617-482-3700

10  
11 FOR THE DEFENDANTS:

12 Erik Haas, Esq.  
13 Jessica Golden Cortes, Esq.  
14 PATTERSON BELKNAP WEBB & TYLER, LLP  
15 1133 Avenue of the Americas  
16 New York, New York 10036-6710  
17 212-336-2222

18 FOR UPMC HEALTH PLAN:

19 Daniel Vukmer, Esq.  
20 UPMC HEALTH PLAN  
21 One Chatham Center, Suite 600  
22 112 Washington Place  
Pittsburgh, Pa 15219  
412-454-5618

Page 3

Page 5

1 \* I N D E X \*

2 Examination by Mr. Haas - - - - - 4  
3 Certificate of Court Reporter - - - - - 92

4  
5  
6  
7 \* INDEX OF EXHIBITS \*

8  
9 Exhibit Maxwell 001 - - - - - 73  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

1 RAEANN MAXWELL,

2 having been duly sworn,  
3 was examined and testified as follows:

4 - - - -  
5 EXAMINATION  
6 - - - -

7 BY MR. HAAS:

8 Q. Please state your full name for the record.

9 A. My name is Raeann Maxwell.

10 Q. Ms. Maxwell, where are you currently  
11 employed?12 A. University of Pittsburgh Medical Center  
13 Health Plan.

14 Q. What is your current position?

15 A. Director of pharmacy services.

16 Q. Are you currently employed anywhere else?

17 A. No, I am not.

18 Q. If you would, describe your employment  
19 history after high school.

20 A. I went --

21 Q. Let me withdraw that question. First if you  
22 could describe for me your educational

1 history plus high school and describe for me  
2 your professional --

3 A. I obtained my bachelor's degree in pharmacy  
4 in 1987, went directly from my bachelor's  
5 degree into a Ph.D. program. Both of those  
6 degrees were obtained from the University of  
7 Pittsburgh School of Pharmacy. I obtained my  
8 Ph.D. in 1997 and it was in pharmaceutical  
9 sciences with a specialty in clinical  
10 pharmacy.

11 Q. After you received your doctorate you started  
12 as an employee of University of Pittsburgh?

13 A. Actually, I have been an employee of UPMC  
14 since 1988. I was a part-time pharmacist at  
15 Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic, and  
16 that was prior to it becoming part of UPMC.

17 When it did become part of UPMC that  
18 is when working with the company began. I  
19 was with Western Psychiatric for sixteen years  
20 prior to coming here to the Health Plan.

21 In that time I was a part-time  
22 pharmacist up until 1994 in which in November

Page 154

1 A. No.  
 2 Q. Earlier in the deposition Mr. Haas asked you  
 3 about your knowledge concerning controversy  
 4 in the last decade or so concerning the use  
 5 of AWP as a reimbursement mechanism, and he  
 6 asked you about your knowledge concerning  
 7 more recent legislation to use ASP as opposed  
 8 to AWP.

9 He asked you whether from UPMC's  
 10 perspective it mattered whether as a  
 11 reimbursement basis one used an AWP minus  
 12 some percentage or ASP above some percentage,  
 13 and you said not to my knowledge, I believe.  
 14 Is that accurate?

15 A. That is correct -- that is accurate.

16 Q. Would it make a difference to UPMC if UPMC  
 17 knew that -- in the answer to the question  
 18 would it make no difference to UPMC if UPMC  
 19 was aware that AWP, the average wholesale  
 20 price, for a particular drug was grossly  
 21 inflated and was not related to the actual  
 22 sales prices for that drug but it knew that

Page 155

1 the ASP was a true average of the actual  
 2 sales price? Given that knowledge would it  
 3 make a difference to UPMC as to which basis  
 4 was used for reimbursement or payment?

5 MR. HAAS: Objection to form,  
 6 characterization of the benchmarks, but you  
 7 can answer if you can understand it.

8 Q. Were you able to follow that question?

9 A. Basically you're saying that -- let me  
 10 paraphrase and see if I understand what you  
 11 were trying to get across, that you're  
 12 stating AWP is potentially inflated versus  
 13 ASP which would be a truer benchmark?

14 Q. Basically yes.

15 MR. HAAS: I will object on form and  
 16 foundation and characterization, among other  
 17 things.

18 MR. VUKMER: This is Dan Vukmer. Let  
 19 me talk to my client for just one second, if  
 20 you wouldn't mind.

21 - - - -

22 (There was a discussion off the record.)

Page 156

1 - - - -

2 A. No, it shouldn't matter which that we would  
 3 potentially use.

4 Q. Why is that?

5 A. Because regardless of which number that you  
 6 utilize and you do whatever percentage minus  
 7 you should still come up close to a  
 8 negotiated reimbursement price to your  
 9 provider which would be a pharmacy or  
 10 physician.

11 Q. Well, the instance where -- does that assume  
 12 that in the negotiation -- I don't know that  
 13 the negotiation you just posited -- does that  
 14 assume in that negotiation you understand  
 15 that AWP is, in fact, an inflated number?

16 MR. HAAS: Objection, foundation,  
 17 form, speculative.

18 A. I don't know how to answer that question.

19 Q. Your testimony was it didn't matter whether  
 20 you used an AWP minus or an AWP plus system  
 21 because you would negotiate to a particular  
 22 point of reimbursement?

Page 157

1 A. Potentially, yes.

2 Q. Would you reach the same point of  
 3 reimbursement if you did not understand that  
 4 AWP was an inflated number?

5 MR. HAAS: Objection, ambiguous.  
 6 What does that mean?

7 Q. All right. That AWP, in fact, did not  
 8 reflect or, put it this way, grossly  
 9 misrepresented what the actual sales prices  
 10 were in the market?

11 MR. HAAS: Objection. She testified  
 12 she didn't believe it did.

13 Q. You can answer the question if you understand  
 14 it.

15 A. I don't understand.

16 Q. Let me ask you why wouldn't it matter -- let  
 17 me see if I have time to get back to this.  
 18 Under the contract with Argus, which I  
 19 believe was Exhibit 6 to your deposition, at  
 20 least for those pharmacies that are in the  
 21 Argus network as opposed to the UPMC network,  
 22 with their being reimbursed Argus is being

# **Exhibit 64**



DATE: 7/14/92 TIME: 19:27:44

JAMES BRUDNICK CO., INC.  
CONTRACT BY CUSTOMER REPORT

PAGE 68

PREPARED FOR: 059095 MEDICAL EAST-BRAINTREE (H)

CUTOFF DATE: 7/01/92

CONTRACT NUMBER	CONTRACT DESCRIPTION	ITEM NUMBER	ITEM DESCRIPTION	SIZE	U/M	CONTRACT COST	NET COST	START DATE	END DATE
301901	GLAXO - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	991236	ZANTAC TAB 150MG U/D	100	EA	103.77	104.80	7/01/92	6/30/93
301901	GLAXO - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	921242	ZANTAC TAB 300MG	30	EA	52.83	53.35	7/01/92	6/30/93
301901	GLAXO - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	991236	ZANTAC TAB 300MG U/D	100	EA	177.50	179.37	7/01/92	6/30/93
301901	GLAXO - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	991236	ZANTAC VIAL MULTI DOSE	10ML	EA	12.87	12.99	7/01/92	6/30/93
02996-10	PARKE-DAVIS - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	991701	ZARONTIN CAP 250MG	100	EA	47.60	48.07	7/01/92	6/30/93
02996-10	PARKE-DAVIS - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	991752	ZARONTIN SYRUP	160Z	EA	44.35	44.81	7/01/92	6/30/93
SVCH000924	STUART - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	993006	ZESTORETIC TAB 20/12.5MG	100	EA	67.23	67.90	3/01/92	2/28/93
SVCH000924	STUART - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	993008	ZESTORETIC TAB 20/25MG	100	EA	68.04	68.74	3/01/92	2/28/93
SVCH000924	STUART - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	993013	ZESTREL TAB 10MG	100	EA	53.75	54.28	3/01/92	2/28/93
SVCH000924	STUART - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	993017	ZESTREL TAB 20MG	100	EA	57.53	58.10	3/01/92	2/28/93
SVCH000924	STUART - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	993020	ZESTREL TAB 40MG	100	EA	84.03	84.87	3/01/92	2/28/93
404329	DERNIK - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	993010	ZESTREL TAB 5MG	100	EA	52.00	52.52	3/01/92	2/28/93
301901	GLAXO - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	993859	ZETAR EMULSION	60Z	EA	12.70	12.82	1/01/92	12/31/93
301901	GLAXO - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	994570	ZINACEF INFUSION PACK 1.5GH	10	EA	107.50	108.57	7/01/92	6/30/93
301901	GLAXO - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	994572	ZINACEF INFUSION PACK 750MG	10	EA	53.36	53.91	7/01/92	6/30/93
301901	GLAXO - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	994566	ZINACEF VIAL 1.5GH	25	EA	262.03	264.45	7/01/92	6/30/93
301901	GLAXO - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	994568	ZINACEF VIAL 3.5GH BULK	6	EA	308.15	311.23	7/01/92	6/30/93
DO 92	ALCON - MEDECON	994562	ZINACEF VIAL 750MG	25	EA	131.69	133.00	7/01/92	6/30/93
PVCH000924	ICI PHARMA - MEDICAL EAST/NEXT	994952	ZINCPRIN EYE DROPS	150CC	EA	4.25	4.30	4/01/92	3/31/93
		995318	ZOLADEX IMPLANT	1	EA	254.95	257.49	3/01/92	2/28/93

BCBSMA-AWP-33415  
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

MEDICAL WEST INC - CHICOPEE  
INVENTORY COST AND QUANTITY WORKSHEET  
SORTED BY LOCATION AND LABEL NAME  
LOC: ON

NDC#	LOC			Current	Actual	Onhand	Phys.	Ext.
Label name		Pkg Size	UOM	Pkg Cost	Pkg Cost	Pkg Qty	Count	Cost
00310096036	ON							
ZOLADEX 3.6MG IMPLANT SYRM		1.000EA		257.50		.00	7	1,802.

# Exhibit 65

REPORT ID: IV0010MJ;IV001BZ

Date: 12/07/91

PAGE: 14

MEDICAL WEST INC - PEABODY  
INVENTORY COST AND QUANTITY WORKSHEET  
SORTED BY LOCATION AND GENERIC NAME  
LOCATION: F1

BCSP

Generic Name/strength/form NDC#	MFG	LOC	Pkg Size	Current Pkg Cost	Actual Pkg Cost	Unhand Pkg Qty	Physical Count	Ext Cos
ALBUTEROL 00173039538 GLA F1			5MG/ML 20.000ML	7.15	SOLUTION ?	2.000	2	14.30
ALBUTEROL 00173032188 GLA F1			90MCG 17.000GM	5.95	AEROSOL ?	46.000	42	249.90
CROMOLYN SODIUM 00585067502 FIS F1			800MCG 9.000GM	25.23	AEROSOL ✓	13.000	13	327.99
CROMOLYN SODIUM 00585067501 FIS F1			800MCG 15.000GM	40.14	AEROSOL ✓	28.000	29	1,164.06

1,756.25